arXiv:1606.02578v1 [math.MG] 8 Jun 2016

SELF AND PARTIAL GLUING THEOREMS FOR ALEXANDROV SPACES WITH A LOWER CURVATURE BOUND

AYATO MITSUISHI

Abstract. This paper is devoted to prove that if an Alexandrov space of curvature not less than κ with a codimension one extremal subset which admits an isometric involution with respect to the induced length metric, then the metric space obtained by gluing the extremal subset along the isometry is an Alexandrov space of curvature not less than κ. This is a generalization of Perelman's doubling and Petrunin's gluing theorems.

1. Introduction and results

Alexandrov spaces (of curvature bounded from below) are generalized objects of complete Riemannian manifolds. Such spaces naturally appear as the Gromov-Hausdorff limits of complete Riemannian manifolds under a uniform lower sectional curvature bound. The quotients of complete Riemannian manifolds by isometric actions possibly with fixed points are also Alexandrov spaces. Alexandrov spaces are stable in several geometric constructions, which are, taking the product of two spaces and taking the cone and the join of spaces of curvature not less than one. On the other hands, metric spaces having an upper curvature bound in the sense of Alexandrov, which are called CAT-spaces, are also stable in the geometric constructions mentioned above (product, cone, join). Reshetnyak ([10]) proved that for two (or more many) CAT-spaces and their convex subsets, if the convex subsets are isometric to each other, then the metric space obtained by gluing the CAT-spaces along the convex subsets via the isometries is again a CAT-space. For Alexandrov spaces, such a construction does not work in general. For instance, a set of single point in the plane is convex and the metric space obtained by gluing two planes at the base points admits branching geodesics, that can not admit Alexandrov's lower curvature bound.

On the other hands, Perelman ([4]) and Petrunin ([7]) proved that Alexandrov's lower curvature bounds are stable by gluing thier boundaries via isometric mapping with respect to the induced length metric. Exactly, Petrunin proved

Date: June 9, 2016.

Theorem 1.1 ([7]). *Let M_1 and M_2 be Alexandrov spaces of the same dimension and having the same lower curvature bound κ with non-empty boundaries ∂M_1 and ∂M_2. If there is an isometry $f : \partial M_1 \to \partial M_2$ with respect to the induced length metric, then the metric space $M_1 \cup_f M_2$ obtained by gluing M_1 and M_2 along thier boundaries via f is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.*

This is a generalization of Perelman's doubling theorem, which states that for an Alexandrov space M with boundary, its double $D(M)$ is also an Alexandrov space having the same lower curvature bound as M, where $D(M)$ is the metric space $M \cup_{\mathrm{id}:\partial M \to \partial M} M$ in the notation used in Theorem 1.1. Petrunin's gluing theorem is also true if the isometry f is defined on components of the boundaries.

In the present paper, we prove that Alexandrov's lower curvature bound is stable under gluing along *certain parts* of boundaries, possibly proper subsets of components of boundaries. It gives a generalization of Perelman's and Petrunin's theorems.

1.1. **Easy examples.** Before stating results, let us observe that various nonnegatively curved surfaces are constructed from one rectangle paper. For instance, by gluing two opposite sides of a rectangle, we obtain an annulus or a Möbius band. The union E of adjacency sides with induced length metric has a unique non-trivial isometric involution, and the metric space by closing E via the isometry is a nonnegatively curved surface, like a wonky paper cup.



an annulus a Möbius band a paper cup

The boundary of a rectangle with the induced length metric is regarded as a circle, which have two kinds of non-trivial isometric involutions σ and τ. Here, σ is a reflection with two fixed points and τ is a half-rotation of the circle. Then, the metric space obtained by closing the boundary of the rectangle via σ (resp. via τ) is a sphere (resp. a projective plane) of nonnegative curvature with metric singular points. Further, they are flat at almost all points. For instance, we can obtain the following surfaces:



a pillow case a sphere a real projective plane

Further, by using two rectangles and by gluing sides (or the union of sides) of the same length, we also obtain nonnegatively curved surfaces.

On the other hands, if we close a segment by an involution on it, where the endpoints of the segment are not corners, then we have a torn envelope:



A torn envelope as above does not have a lower curvature bound at the torn point.

In this paper, we rigorously formulate such phenomena for general Alexandrov spaces, and prove it.

1.2. **Partial gluing.** To state our results, the notion of extremal subsets is needed. For example, the union of any set of sides of a rectangle is extremal in the rectangle. The precise definition of extremal subsets in general Alexandrov spaces will be reviewed in Section 2.

Let us state our main results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an Alexandrov space which is possibly disconnected and E a codimension one extremal subset of M. Let f be an isometric involution on E with respect to the induced length metric. Then, the metric space M_f obtained by closing E in M via f is an Alexandrov space.*

Further, if M has curvature $\geq \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and E is κ-extremal in M, and M has at most two components when M is of dimension one and $\kappa > 0$, then M_f is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.

Here, the restriction of the number of components is needed when the dimension of M is one and is positively curved (see Remark 2.15). The canonical length metric on M_f in the result of Theorem 1.2 will be defined in Section 2. A direct corollary of Theorem 1.2 is the following.

Corollary 1.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be the same dimensional connected Alexandrov spaces of curvature $\geq \kappa$ and $E_1 \subset M_1$ and $E_2 \subset M_2$ their codimension one κ-extremal subsets. Assume that there is an isometry $f : E_1 \to E_2$ in the length metric. Then, the metric space $M_1 \cup_f M_2$ obtained by gluing M_1 and M_2 along E_1 and E_2 via f is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.*

This is a generalization of Theorem 1.1, because Corollary 1.3 allows that E_i can be taken to be proper subsests of (a component of) the boundary ∂M_i. We will note that Alexandrov spaces obtained by gluing as in Corollary 1.3 naturally appear in the collapsing theory of Riemannin manifolds and Alexandrov spaces with a uniform lower curvature bound (See Example 3.5 and [3]).

Organization. The organization of this paper is as follows. In §2, we recall basics of length metric spaces, Alexandrov spaces, and their

extremal subsets. In §3, we give proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

Let $X = (X, |\cdot,\cdot|)$ denote an abstract metric space possibly having infinite distance. Soon, X is restricted to be a length space.

2.1. **Basics of length spaces.** For a continuous curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$ in a metric space X, its length is defined as

$$L(\gamma) = \sup_{a=t_0<\cdots<t_m=b} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\gamma(t_i)\gamma(t_{i-1})|$$

which is determined independently on the choice of parametrizations of γ. If γ is Lipschitz, then its length $L(\gamma)$ is finite. For a subset $F \subset X$, the *length metric* on F associated with the metric on X is defined as

$$|xy|_F := \inf L(\gamma)$$

for all $x, y \in F$, where γ runs over continuous curves $\gamma : [0, 1] \to F$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. If x and y can not be connected by a continuous curve in F of finite length, then $|xy|_F := \infty$. When X satisfies $|xy| = |xy|_X$ for all $x, y \in X$, it is called a *length space*. Note that for points x, y in a length space, they satsify $|xy| < \infty$ if and only if they are contained in the same connected component.

From now on, X denotes a proper length space possibly having infinite distances. Here, a metric space is said to be proper if any closed metric ball is compact. Then, X becomes automatically a geodesic space. Namely, for any $x, y \in X$ wtih $|xy| < \infty$, there is a curve $\gamma : [0, |xy|] \to X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(|xy|) = y$ and $L(\gamma) = |xy|$. Such a γ is called a minimal geodesic between x and y and is denoted by xy. In this paper, we always assume that every minimal geodesic is parametrized by the arclength. That is, any minimal geodesic is just an isometric embedding from an interval to a metric space.

Let Σ be a metric space. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, the *κ-cone* $C^\kappa\Sigma$ over Σ is defined as follows. Let $D_\kappa := \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ if $\kappa > 0$ and $D_\kappa := +\infty$ if $\kappa \le 0$. Let a function $\mathrm{sn}_\kappa : [0, D_\kappa) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique solution to an ODE

$$\mathrm{sn}_\kappa'' + \kappa\,\mathrm{sn}_\kappa = 0, \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(0) = 0, \mathrm{sn}_\kappa'(0) = 1.$$

We set $\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(t) = \mathrm{sn}_\kappa'(t)$. Consider the product $[0, D_\kappa/2) \times \Sigma$. For two points (a, ξ), $(b, \eta) \in [0, D_\kappa/2) \times \Sigma$, we define the κ-cone distance $|(a, \xi), (b, \eta)|$ by

$$\mathrm{cs}_\kappa|(a, \xi), (b, \eta)| = \mathrm{cs}_\kappa a\,\mathrm{cs}_\kappa b + \kappa\,\mathrm{sn}_\kappa a\,\mathrm{sn}_\kappa b\cos(\min\{|\xi\eta|, \pi\})$$

if $\kappa \neq 0$, and by

$$|(a, \xi), (b, \eta)|^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos(\min\{|\xi\eta|, \pi\})$$

if $\kappa = 0$. The 0-cone distance is equal to the limit of κ-cone distance as $\kappa \to 0$. The metric completion of $[0, D_\kappa/2) \times \Sigma$ with respect to the κ-cone distance is denoted by $C^\kappa\Sigma$, which is the κ-cone over Σ. In particular, all points in $\{0\} \times \Sigma$ are identified as a one point in $C^\kappa\Sigma$, which is called the vertex of the κ-cone and is denoted by o. A point in $C^\kappa\Sigma$ is called a vector, and if it is represented by (a, ξ), then it is written as $a\xi$. The norm $|v|$ of a vector $v = a\xi$ is defined as $|v| := |vo| = a$. The 0-cone is usually called the Euclidean cone, and the 1-cone is the spherical join to a one-point space. If Σ is a connected length metric space of diameter at most π or a space consisting of two points of distance π, then its κ-cone $C^\kappa\Sigma$ becomes a length metric space.

2.2. **Canonical length metrics on glued spaces.** Let $X = (X, |\cdot,\cdot|_X)$ be a proper geodesic space and E its closed subset. We denote by $|\cdot,\cdot|_E$ the length metric on E induced from the original metric $|\cdot,\cdot|_X$. Let us impose the following condition (♠) on (X, E).

(♠) The original metric and the length metric on E are locally bi-Lipschitz, that is, for each $x \in E$, there exist $r > 0$ and $C \geq 1$ such that $|yz|_X \leq |yz|_E \leq C|yz|_X$ for all $y, z \in B(x, r) \cap E$, where $B(x, r)$ is the closed ball in the original metric.

Further, let us fix an isometric involution f on $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$, where f may be trivial.

Let us consider an equivalent relation on X generated by $E \ni x \sim f(x) \in E$, and the quotient $X_f := X/\!\sim$ as a set. Let $\pi : X \to X_f$ be the projection. In [1, §3], it was introduced that an equivalent relation on a general metric space induces a psuedo-distance on the space as follows. We use such a procedure in our case. For $x, y \in X$, we set

$$|xy| = \inf \sum_{i=0}^{k} |x_i y_i|_X \tag{2.1}$$

where the infimum runs over all choices of $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ such that $x_0 = x$, $y_k = y$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $y_{i-1} \sim x_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a proper geodesic space and E a closed subset satisfying (♠). Let f be an isometric involution on E with respect to the length metric. Then, we have

(1) The equivalent relation on X defined as $|xy| = 0$ is equal to the equivalent relation $\sim$ induced from (E, f), that is, $|xy| = 0$ if and only if $x \sim y$ for all $x, y \in X$.
(2) The topology on X_f induced from the length metric (2.1) coincides with the quotient topology on X_f.
(3) The metric space $(X_f, |\cdot,\cdot|)$ is a proper geodesic space.

Proof. From the definition, $|\cdot,\cdot| \leq |\cdot,\cdot|_X$ holds. Further, for every $x \in X \setminus E$, letting $r = |xE|$, the restriction of two distances $|\cdot,\cdot|_X$ and $|\cdot,\cdot|$ to $U(x, r)$ coincide, where $U(x, r)$ denotes the open ball centered

x of radius r in the original metric. From the assumption (♠), for any $x \in E$, there exist $r > 0$ and $C \geq 1$ such that

$$U^f(\{x, f(x)\}, r) \subset U(\{x, f(x)\}, Cr) \tag{2.2}$$

holds, where $U^f(y, s)$ denotes the open ball centered at y of radius s with respect to the induced distance $|\cdot, \cdot|$. Indeed, by the condition (♠), there exist $r > 0$ and $C \geq 1$ such that for all $y, z \in U(\{x, f(x)\}, r) \cap E$, we have $|yz|_E \leq C|yz|_X$. Let $y \in U^f(x, r)$. Then, there exist sequences of points $\{x_i\}, \{y_i\}$ such that $x_i, y_i \in E$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $y_{i-1} \sim x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, and that $x = x_0$, $y = y_k$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} |x_i y_i|_X < r$$

hold. If $y_{i-1} = x_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, then by the triangle inequality, we have $|xy|_X < r$. So, we may assume that there is i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $y_{i-1} \neq x_i$. Then, $k \geq 1$. We only consider the case $y_0 \neq x_1$ and $y_1 = y$. Hence, we have

$$|x_0 y_0|_X + |x_1 y_1|_X < r.$$

We note that

$$|f(x_0)f(y_0)|_X \leq C|f(x_0)f(y_0)|_E = C|x_0 y_0|_E \leq C|x_0 y_0|_X$$

Therefore, we have

$$|f(x)y|_X \leq |f(x_0)f(y_0)|_X + |x_1 y_1|_X < Cr.$$

Thus, we obtain (2.2). These imply (1), (2) and (3). □

Let $\pi : X \to X_f$ be the quotient map. From now on, each point $x \in X_f$ is considered as the subset $x = \pi^{-1}(x) \subset X$ and the image of E under the projection π is denoted by $E_f \subset X_f$. Further, for a point $x \in X$, we use x the same symbol to indicate its equivalent class $x = \pi(x) \in X_f$. Under this convention, as in [7], we consider a concept to approximate the distance defined in (2.1). For $x, y \in X_f$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the *m-predistance* between x and y is defined by

$$|xy|_m = \inf \sum_{i=0}^{k} |p_i p_{i+1}|_X$$

where the infimum runs over points $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$ satisfying $p_0 = x$, $p_{k+1} = y$, $p_i \in E_f$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $k \leq m$. Here, the right-hand side is the sum of distances between sets in X.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x, y \in X_f$. Then, we have the following.*

(1) $|xy|_m \geq |xy|_{m+1}$.
(2) $|xz|_m + |zy|_\ell \geq |xy|_{m+\ell}$ *for* $z \in X_f$. *Further, if* $z \in E_f$, *then* $|xz|_m + |zy|_\ell \geq |xz|_{m+\ell+1}$.
(3) $|xy|_m$ *converges to* $|xy|$ *as* $m \to \infty$.

(4) *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, if $|xy|_m$ is finite, then there is a sequence of points $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$ with $k \leq m$ which attains the infimum in the definition of m-distance $|xy|_m$.*

Proof. The properties (1), (2) and (3) trivially follow from the definition. The property (4) follows from the properness of X. □

A sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$ obtained as Lemma 2.2 (4) is called an *m-shortest path* between x and y.

We use the following convention: for two proper geodesic spaces X_1 and X_2 and their closed subsets $E_1 \subset X_1$ and $E_2 \subset X_2$ satisfying (♠), if there is an isometry $f : E_1 \to E_2$ with respect to the induced length metrics, then a space $X_1 \cup_f X_2$ denotes the metric space $X_{\bar{f}}$, where X is the disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 and $\bar{f}$ is the canonical extension of f to an isometric involution on $E_1 \sqcup E_2$ defined as $\bar{f}(x) = f^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in E_2$. This is the definition of the metric on the space $M_1 \cup_f M_2$ in Corollary 1.3.

2.3. **Basics of Alexandrov spaces.** Let A, B, C be nonnegative numbers satisfying triangle inequality $A + B \geq C \geq |A - B|$. When $\kappa \neq 0$, the κ-comparison angle of $(A; B, C)$ is defined as follows.

$$\tilde{\angle}_\kappa(A; B, C) := \arccos \frac{\mathrm{cs}_\kappa A - \mathrm{cs}_\kappa B \, \mathrm{cs}_\kappa C}{\kappa \, \mathrm{sn}_\kappa B \, \mathrm{sn}_\kappa C} \in [0, \pi]$$

if $B \cdot C > 0$ and $A + B + C < 2D_\kappa$. Otherwise, $\tilde{\angle}_\kappa(A; B, C) = 0$. Further, we set

$$\tilde{\angle}_0(A; B, C) := \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \tilde{\angle}_\kappa(A; B, C).$$

For points a, b, c in a metric space, we set

$$\tilde{\angle}_\kappa bac := \tilde{\angle}_\kappa(a; b, c) := \tilde{\angle}_\kappa(|bc|; |ab|, |ac|)$$

which is called the κ-comparison angle of $\{a, b, c\}$ at a.

Definition 2.3. A proper geodesic space M which is possibly disconnected, is called an *Alexandrov space* if for any $x \in M$, there exist a number $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and a neighborhood U of x in M such that for any distinct four points a, b, c, d in U, we have the comparison inequality

$$\tilde{\angle}_\kappa bac + \tilde{\angle}_\kappa cad + \tilde{\angle}_\kappa dab \leq 2\pi.$$

A number κ as above is called a lower curvature bound at x in X. When κ is taken independently on the choice of points x, we say that M is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.

Due to the globalization theorem ([2]), if M is a connected Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$ with $\kappa > 0$, then any geodesic triangle has perimeter not greater than $2D_\kappa$. In particular, each component of M has diameter at most D_κ.

For each component M of an Alexandrov space, its Hausdorff dimension is known to be same as the topological dimension. The same value is called the dimension of M. We say that an Alexandrov space is of dimension n, if every component is of dimension n. In the present paper, we deal with only finite dimensional Alexandrov spaces.

There are other characterizations of Alexandrov spaces based on several conditions, called, triangle comparison, hinge comparison and comparison-angle monotonicity (see [2], [1]). Such conditions are generalized for 1-Lipschitz curves instead of geodesics (see Proposition 2.10) in a suitable way. So, the definitions of such conditions are omitted, here.

From now on, we denote by M an Alexandrov space of finite dimension. For $x \in M$ and two geodesics $\alpha, \beta : [0, a] \to M$ starting at $x = \alpha(0) = \beta(0)$, the comparison-angle monotonicity enable to us define the *angle* between α and β at x as $\angle(\alpha, \beta) := \lim_{s,t\to 0} \tilde{\angle}_0 \alpha(s) x \beta(t)$. On the set of all non-constant geodesics emanating from x in M, the angle becomes a pseudo-distance. The metric space of all equivalent classes of geodesics obtained in a usual way is denoted by $\Sigma'_x = \Sigma'_x M$. Its completion $\Sigma_x = \Sigma_x M$ is called the space of directions at x. Further, $\Sigma_x M$ becomes a connected Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1 and of dimension $(\dim M - 1)$ or the metric space consisting of two points with the distance π. In particular, the diameter of Σ_x is not greater than $\pi = D_1$. For $y \neq x$, we denote by $y'_x \subset \Sigma'_x M$ the set of all directions of geodesics from x to y. An element of y'_x is denoted by $\uparrow_x^y$.

For the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, we refer [1]. The Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the family of scaling pointed spaces (rM, x) as $r \to \infty$, where rM denotes the set M equipped with the distance function of M multiplied with r, always exists and is denoted by $(T_x M, o_x)$, which is called the tangent cone of M at x. It is known that $(T_x M, o_x)$ is isometric to the Euclidean cone over Σ_x with the vertex. By the definition, $T_x M$ has nonnegative curvature.

For each $x \in M$, we define the (multi-valued) logarithm map at x as

$$\log_x : M \ni y \mapsto |xy| y'_x \subset T_x M,$$

where, we set $\log_x(x) := o_x$. The *exponential map* at x is defined as the left-inverse of $\log_x$ which is single-valued, that is, $\exp_x \circ \log_x = \mathrm{id}_M$.

The κ-cone over $\Sigma_x M$ is denoted by $T_x^\kappa M$. Since a map $\log_x^\kappa : B(x, D_\kappa/2) \to T_x^\kappa M$ can be defined in the same manner to define the usual logarithm as above, the corresponding exponential map $\exp_x^\kappa$ can be defined as the map from some subset of $T_x^\kappa M$ such that $\exp_x^\kappa \circ \log_x^\kappa = \mathrm{id}_{B(x, D_\kappa/2)}$. If κ is a lower curvature bound on M, then $\exp_x^\kappa$ is 1-Lipschitz. Note that the image of $\log_x^\kappa$ is not dense in $T_x^\kappa M$. For instance, if M is a closed convex region with boundary in the plane, then a vector in the tangent cone $T_x^0 M$ at $x \in M$ can not be approximated by the image of $\log_x^0$, indeed, the image of $\log_x^0$ is an isometric

copy of M in T^0_xM. However, $\exp^\kappa_x$ is extended to a 1-Lipschitz map defined on the whole space T^κ_xM (see Subsection 2.6).

2.4. **The boundary.** The *boundary* ∂M of an Alexandrov space M is defined as follows. If $\dim M = 1$, then M is a complete one-manifold possibly with boundary and ∂M is the usual boundary. When $\dim M \geq 2$, for $p \in M$, we say $p \in \partial M$ if $\partial\Sigma_p \neq \emptyset$.

2.5. **Semiconcave functions on Alexandrov spaces.** Let M denote an Alexandrov space.

Definition 2.4. Let U be an open subset of M and $g : U \to \mathbb{R}$ a function. A locally Lipschitz function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *g-concave* (in the barrier sense) if for any $x \in U$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood V of x in U such that for any minimal geodesic γ contained in V, the function f along γ is $(g(x) + \epsilon)$-concave in the sense that

$$f \circ \gamma(t) - \frac{g(x) + \epsilon}{2} t^2$$

is concave in t. In this case, we use the notation

$$f'' \leq g \text{ on } U.$$

A locally Lipschitz function which is g-concave for some g is called a *semiconcave function*.

Recall that any distance function d_A from a compact subset A of an Alexandrov space M is semiconcave. Indeed, if U is an open subset containing A and has a lower curvature bound κ, then we have

$$(\rho_\kappa \circ d_A \circ \gamma(t))'' \leq 1 - \kappa(\rho_\kappa \circ d_A \circ \gamma(t)) \tag{2.3}$$

for each geodesic γ in U. Here, ρ_κ is defined as

$$\rho_\kappa(u) = \int_0^u \mathrm{sn}_\kappa v \, dv.$$

When $\kappa \neq 0$, this is represented as $\rho_\kappa = (-\kappa)^{-1}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa - 1)$. Hence, setting $h := -\kappa^{-1}\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(d_A \circ \gamma(t))$, the condition (2.3) is equivalent to

$$h'' + \kappa h \leq 0 \tag{2.4}$$

in the barrier sense, if $\kappa \neq 0$.

From a smoothing argument and a direct calculation, we have

Lemma 2.5. *Let I be an interval and $f : I \to [0, D_\kappa]$ a Lipschitz function satisfying*

$$(\rho_\kappa \circ f)'' + \kappa\rho_\kappa \circ f \leq 1$$

in the barrier sense. Then, in the domain $\{t \mid 0 < f(t) < D_\kappa/2\}$, the function $k := \mathrm{sn}_\kappa f$ satisfies

$$k''(t) \leq \frac{\mathrm{cs}^2_\kappa f(t) - A_t^2}{\mathrm{sn}_\kappa f(t)}$$

in the barrier sense, where

$$A_t = \limsup_{t' \to t} \left| \frac{f(t') - f(t)}{t' - t} \right|.$$

From a basic calculus of one variable, we obtain the following Lemma 2.6. This is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. For the completeness, we prove Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which is concave in the first order, that is, it satifies*

$$\overline{f}^+(t) \le \underline{f}^-(t) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $t \in (a, b)$, where $\overline{f}^+(t) = \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0+}(f(t + \epsilon) - f(t))/\epsilon$ and $\underline{f}^-(t) = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0+}(f(t) - f(t - \epsilon))/\epsilon$. Let $g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Suppose that f does not satisfy $f'' \le g$ on (a, b) in the barrier sense. Then, there exist $t_0 \in (a, b)$, $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$f(t) \ge f(t_0) + \frac{g(t_0)}{2}(t - t_0)^2 + \epsilon(t - t_0)^2 + A(t - t_0)$$

for all t with $|t - t_0| < \epsilon$.

Proof. From the assumption, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $t_1 \in (a, b)$ such that a function

$$\varphi(t; t_1) := f(t) - \frac{g(t_1) + \epsilon}{2} t^2$$

is not concave in t on any neighborhood I of t_1. Hence, there exists an interval $(c, d) \subset I$ such that

$$\varphi(t; t_1) < \ell(t)$$

holds on (c, d), where ℓ is a linear function satisfying $\ell(c) = \varphi(c; t_1)$ and $\ell(d) = \varphi(d; t_1)$. Let $t_0 \in (c, d)$ be a minimizer of $\varphi(t; t_1) - \ell(t)$. Then, we have

$$\varphi(t; t_1) \ge \varphi(t_0; t_1) + \ell(t) - \ell(t_0) \tag{2.6}$$

on $[c, d]$. Note that the assumption (2.5) implies that φ and f is differentiable at t_0. Hence, we have

$$\ell'(t_0) = \varphi'(t_0; t_1) = f'(t_0) - (g(t_1) + \epsilon)t_0.$$

Therefore, the equation (2.6) is represented as

$$f(t) - f(t_0) \ge \frac{g(t_1) + \epsilon}{2}(t - t_0)^2 + f'(t_0)(t - t_0).$$

Since g is uniformly continuous, we may assume that $|g(t_0) - g(t_1)| \le \epsilon/2$ by taking I to have small length. Then, we obtain

$$f(t) - f(t_0) \ge \frac{g(t_0) + \epsilon/2}{2}(t - t_0)^2 + f'(t_0)(t - t_0)$$

on $[c, d]$. This complete the proof of Lemma 2.6. □

2.6. **Gradient curves for semiconcave functions.** For a semiconcave function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in U$, the *derivative* $f' = f'_x$ of f at x is defined a function on Σ'_x defined by

$$f'_x(\xi) := \lim_{t\to 0+} \frac{f(\exp_x(t\xi)) - f(x)}{t}.$$

Then, f'_x becomes a Lipschitz function on Σ'_x. Hence, there is a unique Lipschitz extension on Σ_x of f'_x. We denote it by the same symbol $f'_x : \Sigma_x \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, f'_x is spherically concave, that is, it is $(-f'_x)$-concave. The cone extension of f'_x is also denoted by $f'_x : T_xM \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f'_x(a\xi) = af'_x(\xi)$ for $a \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \Sigma_x$, which is 0-concave.

The *gradient vector* of a semiconcave function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ at $x \in U$ is a vector $g \in T_xM$ satisfying $|g|^2 = f'_x(g)$ and $\langle g, v\rangle \geq f'_x(v)$ for all $v \in T_xM$. It always exists and is unique, and is denoted by $g = \nabla f(x)$. Note that $f'_x \leq 0$ everywhere if and only if $\nabla f(x) = o_x$.

For a locally Lipschitz curve $\gamma : [0, a) \to U$, the *forward direction* $\gamma^+(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ at $t \in [0, a)$ is defined as follows. When the limit $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+} |\gamma(t)\gamma(t+\epsilon)|/\epsilon$ exists and is zero, we set $\gamma^+(t) = 0$. When the limit $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+} |\gamma(t)\gamma(t+\epsilon)|/\epsilon$ exists and is positive, and the limit of a sequence of directions $\uparrow_{\gamma(t)}^{\gamma(t+\epsilon_i)}$ is uniquely determined independently on the choices of $\epsilon_i \to 0+$ and geodesic directions $\uparrow_{\gamma(t)}^{\gamma(t+\epsilon_i)} \in \gamma(t+\epsilon_i)'_{\gamma(t)}$, we set

$$\gamma^+(t) := \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{|\gamma(t)\gamma(t+\epsilon_i)|}{\epsilon_i} \uparrow_{\gamma(t)}^{\gamma(t+\epsilon_i)}.$$

Similarly, the backward direction at t is defined to be $\gamma^-(t) := \gamma^+(-s+t)|_{s=+0}$ if it exists.

Definition 2.7 ([5], [9], [8])**.** Let $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ be a semiconcave function. A *gradient curve* for f starting at $x \in U$ is a locally Lipschitz curve $\gamma : [0, a) \to U$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ such that the forward direction $\gamma^+(t)$ always exists at any time $t \in [0, a)$ and satisfies

$$f'_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma^+(t)) = |\nabla f(\gamma(t))|^2,$$

equivalently,

$$\gamma^+(t) = \nabla f(\gamma(t)).$$

For any semiconcave function, its gradient curve starting at any point is known to be uniquely exists ([5], [9], [8]).

Modifying gradient flows of distance functions, the following concept was defined in [5] and [8]. For every vector $\xi \in \Sigma_x$, we define a curve $\alpha_\xi : [0, D_\kappa/2] \to M$ such that $\alpha_\xi(0) = x$ and

$$\alpha^+_\xi(t) = \frac{\mathrm{tg}_\kappa(|x\alpha_\xi(t)|)}{\mathrm{tg}_\kappa(t)} \nabla d_x(\alpha_\xi(t))$$

holds for every $t \in (0, D_\kappa/2)$. Here, $\mathrm{tg}_\kappa(u) = \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(u)/\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(u)$. The *$\kappa$-gradient-exponential map* $\mathrm{gexp}^\kappa_x : T^\kappa_x M \to M$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{gexp}^\kappa_x(t\xi) := \alpha_\xi(t)$$

for all $t\xi \in T_x^\kappa M$. Note that if $\exp_x^\kappa(v)$ is defined, then $\mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa(v) = \exp_x^\kappa(v)$ for $v \in T_x^\kappa M$.

Theorem 2.8 ([5], [8])**.** *Let M be an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$. Then, the κ-gradient-exponential map $\mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa : T_x^\kappa M \to M$ is 1-Lipschitz and satisfying that $\mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa \circ \log_x = \mathrm{id}$ on $B(x, D_\kappa/2)$. In particular, the image of gexp_x^κ is $B(x, D_\kappa/2)$.*

2.7. **Quasigeodesics.**

Definition 2.9 ([5], [9])**.** A 1-Lipschitz curve γ in a proper geodesic space X is called *κ-convex* in X if for any parameter t_0 of γ and $x \in X$ close to $\gamma(t_0)$, there is a neighborhood I of t_0 such that

$$(\rho_\kappa(|x\gamma(t)|))'' \leq 1 - \kappa\rho_\kappa(|x\gamma(t)|)$$

holds in the barrier sense on I.

Proposition 2.10 ([5, §1.4 and 1.5])**.** *For a 1-Lipschitz curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$ in a metric space X. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(0) *γ is κ-convex.*
(1) *Let $p \in X$, $q_1 = \gamma(t_1)$, $q_2 = \gamma(t_2)$ and $q_3 = \gamma(t_3)$ with $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ and $t_3 - t_1 \leq |pq_1| + |pq_3| < 2D_\kappa - (t_3 - t_1)$. Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3 \in \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_1| = |pq_1|$, $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_3| = |pq_2|$, $|\tilde{q}_1\tilde{q}_2| = t_2 - t_1$, $|\tilde{q}_3\tilde{q}_1| = t_3 - t_1$ and $|\tilde{q}_3\tilde{q}_2| = t_3 - t_2$. Then we have $|pq_2| \geq |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_2|$.*
(2) *Let $p \in X$, $q_1 = \gamma(t_1)$ and $q_2 = \gamma(t_2)$ with $t_2 > t_1$ and $t_2 - t_1 < D_\kappa$. Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \in \mathbb{M}_\kappa$ with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_1| = |pq_1|$, $|\tilde{q}_1\tilde{q}_2| = t_2 - t_1$ and*

$$-\cos\angle\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_1\tilde{q}_2 = \limsup_{\tau\to 0+} \frac{|p\gamma(t_1+\tau)| - |p\gamma(t_1)|}{\tau}.$$

Then we have $|pq_2| \leq |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_2|$.
(3) *For $p \in X$ and $t \in [a, b]$ with $|p\gamma(t)| < D_\kappa$, the comparison angle $\tilde{\angle}_\kappa(|p\gamma(t+\tau)|; \tau, |p\gamma(t)|)$ is non-increasing in τ for $0 \leq \tau < D_\kappa$.*

Note that the limit superior in (2) is actually the limit due to the monotonicity (3).

Definition 2.11 ([5], [9])**.** A rectifiable curve in a proper geodesic space is called a *κ-quasigeodesic* if it is κ-convex and is parametrized by arclength.

We recall that any quasigeodesic in an Alexandrov space has the forward and backward directions at any time ([9]).

Theorem 2.12 ([5])**.** *For a proper geodesic space X, if it satisfies that for any $x \in X$, there exist a neighborhood U and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ such that any two points in U is joined by a minimal geodesic which is a κ-quasigeodesic in X, then X is an Alexandrov space.*

Further, if κ is taken independently on the choice of points x, then X is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.

2.8. **Extremal subsets.** Extremal subsets in Alexandrov spaces were originally defined in [6].

Definition 2.13 ([6], [8])**.** Let M be a connected Alexandrov space. A closed subset E of M is said to be *extremal* if it has one of the following equivalent properties.

(1) For any $p \in E$ and $q \in M$ with $q \neq p$, if d_q has a local minimum at p on E, then p is a critical point for d_q, that is, $d'_q \leq 0$ on T_pM.
(2) For any $p \in E$ and any semiconcave function f defined near p, its gradient curve starting at p is contained in E. Here, when $p \in E \cap \partial M$, f was assumed that the canonical extension of f on a neighborhood of p in the double of M is also semiconcave.
(3) For any $p \in E$ and $q \in M$ with $q \neq p$, the gradient curve for d_q starting at p is contained in E.

For $\kappa > 0$ and an extremal subset E of M, E is said to be *κ-extremal* in M if it satisfies one of the following.

- E consists of at least two points;
- E consists of only one point, say x and $B(x, D_\kappa/2) = M$; or
- E is the empty-set and $\operatorname{diam} M \leq D_\kappa/2$.

When $\kappa \leq 0$, any extremal subset is said to be κ-extremal.

For a disconnected Alexandrov space N and its closed subset F, we say that F is (κ-)extremal if for each component N_0 of N, the intersection $N_0 \cap F$ is (κ-)extremal in N_0.

Actually, the first three conditions in the definition are equivalent. See [8] for a proof. From (3), we know that if a geodesic γ meets an extremal subset E at an interior point of γ, then γ must be contained in E. Further, it is known that each extremal subset satisfies the condition (♠) considered in §2.2 ([6]). It seems that the condition (2) is complicated, but it is needed when p is a boundary point. Indeed, if p is in the boundary of the unit disk $D^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| \leq 1\}$ with flat metric, then the function $f := -d(-p, \cdot)^2$ is concave on D^2 in our sense. However, its canonical extension to the double is not semiconcave and the gradient curve of f starting at p in D^2 is not contained in ∂D^2.

The whole space itself and the empty subset are trivially extremal subsets. The boundary ∂M of an Alexandrov space M is an extremal subset.

For extremal subsets $E, F \subset M$, $E \cup F$, $E \cap F$ and the closure of $E \setminus F$ are also extremal. Further, $\bigcap_\alpha E_\alpha$ is extremal if $\{E_\alpha\}$ is a family of extremal subsets. Each extremal subset admits a canonical stratification into topological manifolds ([6]). The *dimension* of an extremal subset E is the maximal dimension of topological manifolds (stratum) embedded in E, which coincides with the topological dimension of E.

For a closed subset F of M and $p \in F$, we denote by $\Sigma_p F$ the set of all directions which is the limit of geodesic directions $\uparrow_p^{x_i}$ such that

$F \setminus \{p\} \ni x_i \to p$. From the definition, $\Sigma_p F$ is a closed subset of Σ_p. For a point p in an extremal subset E of M, $\Sigma_p E$ is a 1-extremal subset of $\Sigma_p M$.

Remark 2.14. The interval $[0, \pi]$ of length π is an Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1. The set $\{0\}$ is extremal in $[0, \pi]$, however it is not 1-extremal.

Remark 2.15. Theorem 1.2 holds when an Alexandrov space has dimension one, because such an Alexandrov space is actually a complete one-manifold possibly with boundary. This is a first step of the induction on the dimension of Alexandrov spaces in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 2.16. As mentioned in the introduction, the assumption in Theorem 1.2 that M has at most two components is needed when $\dim M = 1$ and M has positive curvature, to keep positivity of curvature of the glued space M_f. Indeed, an interval I of length π is an Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1. Let I_i denote isometric copies of I for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The disjoint union $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{3} I_i$ is also an Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1, and its boundary $\partial X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{3} \partial I_i$ consisting of six points is 1-extremal in X. Then, an isometric involution f on ∂X gives the glued space X_f which is a circle of length 3π. So, X_f does not have curvature ≥ 1.

We recall several important properties of extremal subsets.

Theorem 2.17 (Generalized Liberman's lemma [6], [7], [8])**.** *Let E be an extremal subset of an Alexandrov space M of curvature $\geq \kappa$. If γ is a minimal geodesic in E with respect to the length metric induced from M, then γ is a κ-quasigeodesic in M.*

Theorem 2.18 ([7])**.** *If Alexandrov spaces M_i converge to M without collapse, and if extremal subsets $E_i \subset M_i$ converges to $E \subset M$ as subsets, then E_i converges to E with respect to the length metrics.*

Lemma 2.19. *Let E be an extremal subset of an Alexandrov space M and $p \in E$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $q \in B(p, \delta) \cap E$, we have $|pq|/|pq|_E < 1 + \epsilon$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary, that is, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $q_i \in E$ with $q_i \to p$ such that $|pq_i|/|pq_i|_E \geq 1 + \epsilon$. Let γ_i be a minimal geodesic between p and q_i with respect to the induced length metric on E. We take the tangent cone $T_p M$ as the limit of a scaling sequence $(\frac{1}{|pq_i|_E} M, p)$. Then, a sequence of curves γ_i converges to a curve γ contained in $T_p E$. Let $q_\infty \in T_p E$ denote the limit of q_i. Since, in general, a non-collapsing convergence preserves quasigeodesics ([9], [8]), the curve γ is a 0-quasigeodesic in $T_p M$. Further, in general, for two curves α and β in an Alexandrov space with $\alpha(0) = \beta(0)$, if α is a

minimal geodesic and β is a quasigeodesic satisfying $\alpha^+(0) = \beta^+(0)$, then by the κ-convexity of β for some κ, we have $\alpha = \beta$ on the common domain. Therefore, in the cone T_pM, γ must be contained in a geodesic ray starting o. This is a contradiction to the assumption $|q_\infty|/L(\gamma) \geq 1 + \epsilon$. □

Remark 2.20. As mentioned above, extremal subsets satisfy the condition (♠). It seems that Lemma 2.19 states that the original metric and the length metric on extremal subsets are locally almost isometric. However, this is not true. Indeed, if p is a corner point of a rectangle X, then points $q, r \in \partial X$ can be taken to be arbitrary close to p such that $|qr|/|qr|_{\partial X} = \sqrt{2}/2$.

The following Lemma 2.21 was proved in the case $E = \partial M$ in [7], however its proof works for general extremal subsets. We give a proof of it for the completeness.

Lemma 2.21 (cf. [7, Lemma 2.2])**.** *Let E be an extremal subset in an Alexandrov space M. For $p \in E$, $\eta \in \Sigma_p E$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an shortest path α starting at $p = \alpha(0)$ in E with respect to the length metric such that $\angle(\eta, \alpha^+) < \epsilon$.*

Further, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\eta \in \Sigma_p E$, if $q \in E \cap B(p, \delta) \setminus \{p\}$ satisfies $\angle(\uparrow_p^q, \eta) < \delta$, then we have $\angle(\alpha^+, \eta) < \epsilon$, where α is a minimal geodesic in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$ from p to q.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \Sigma_p E$. We take a sequence $q_i \in E$ such that $q_i \to p$ and $\uparrow_p^{q_i} \to \eta$ in Σ_p as $i \to \infty$. Let us fix a minimal geodesic γ_i from p to q_i in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$. We recall that γ_i is a quasigeodesic in M due to Theorem 2.17. Hence, it has the direction $\gamma_i^+ \in \Sigma_p E$. We prove that γ_i^+ converges to η. Suppose that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\angle(\gamma_i^+, \eta) \geq \epsilon$ for all i. Passing to subsequence, we may assume that γ_i^+ converges to some direction $\xi \in \Sigma_p$. Let us take a point $r \in M$ such that $\angle(\uparrow_p^r, \xi) < \epsilon/6$. Let us take $r_i \in pr$ with $|pr_i| = L(\gamma_i)$. Further, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{|pq_i|}{L(\gamma_i)} = 1. \tag{2.7}$$

We set $t_i := |pr_i| = L(\gamma_i)$ and $s_i := |pq_i|$. By Proposition 2.10 (2), we have

$$\operatorname{cs}_\kappa |r_i q_i| \leq \operatorname{cs}_\kappa^2 t_i + \kappa \operatorname{sn}_\kappa^2 t_i \cos(\epsilon/6) \tag{2.8}$$

for large i, where κ is a lower curvature bound at p with $\kappa < 0$. We show that the comparison angle $\tilde{\varphi}_i := \tilde{\angle}_\kappa q_i p r_i$ is small enough. Indeed, since

$$\kappa \cos \tilde{\varphi}_i = \frac{\operatorname{cs}_\kappa |r_i q_i| - \operatorname{cs}_\kappa t_i \operatorname{cs}_\kappa s_i}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa t_i \operatorname{sn}_\kappa s_i},$$

by the inequality (2.8) and the relation (2.7), letting $i \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \kappa \cos\tilde{\varphi}_i \leq \kappa \cos(\epsilon/6).$$

Since $\kappa < 0$, we obtain $\tilde{\varphi}_i < \epsilon/5$. Because $\tilde{\varphi}_i \to \angle(\eta, \uparrow_p^r)$, we have

$$\angle(\eta, \xi) \leq \angle(\eta, \uparrow_p^r) + \angle(\uparrow_p^r, \xi) \leq 2\epsilon/3.$$

This contradicts to $\angle(\eta, \xi) \geq \epsilon$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.22. *Let X and Y be Alexandrov spaces and $E \subset X$ and $F \subset Y$ their extremal subsets. Let $h : E \to F$ be an isometric embedding with respect to the induced length metric. Then, for any $p \in E$, there is an isometric embedding*

$$h'_p : \Sigma_p E \to \Sigma_{h(p)} F$$

with respect to the induced length metric such that

$$h'_p(\gamma^+(0)) = (h \circ \gamma)^+(0)$$

for any non-trivial minimal geodesic γ in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$ with $\gamma(0) = p$.

Proof. We define a map $h'_p : \Sigma_p E \to \Sigma_{h(p)} F$ as follows. Let γ be a non-trivial minimal geodesic in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$ with $\gamma(0) = p$. Since h is an isometric embedding in the length metric, $h \circ \gamma$ is a minimal geodesic in $(F, |\cdot,\cdot|_F)$, the direction $(h \circ \gamma)^+(0)$ is determined as a point in $\Sigma_{h(p)} F$. Later, it is known that this gives a well-posed map $h'_p : \Sigma_p E \to \Sigma_{h(p)} F$. For an arbitrary direction $\eta \in \Sigma_p E$ and $\epsilon > 0$, by Lemma 2.21, there is a minimal geodeisc α_ϵ in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$ with $\alpha_\epsilon(0) = p$ such that

$$\angle_p(\alpha_\epsilon^+(0), \eta) < \epsilon \text{ and } \frac{|p\alpha_\epsilon(t)|}{t} = 1 + O(t) \tag{2.9}$$

for $t < \epsilon$, where $\angle_p$ is the distance function on Σ_p. Let us set $h'_p(\eta) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(h \circ \alpha_\epsilon)^+(0)$. We claim that this map is well-defined. Indeed, for another minimal geodeisc β_ϵ in $(E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E)$ with $\beta_\epsilon(0) = p$ satisfying (2.9), by the condition (♠) for $\Sigma_p E$ at η, we have $|\alpha_\epsilon^+(0)\beta_\epsilon^+(0)|_{\Sigma_p E} < C\epsilon$ for some $C > 0$. Further, by Theorem 2.18, we obtain

$$\tilde{\angle}(|\alpha_\epsilon(t)\beta_\epsilon(t)|_E; t, t) < C\epsilon + O(t).$$

Since h is the isometric embedding in the length metric,

$$\tilde{\angle}(|h \circ \alpha_\epsilon(t) h \circ \beta_\epsilon(t)|_F; t, t) < C\epsilon + O(t)$$

holds. By using Lemma 2.19 again, we have

$$\tilde{\angle}(|h \circ \alpha_\epsilon(t) h \circ \beta_\epsilon(t)|; |p\alpha_\epsilon(t)|, |p\beta_\epsilon(t)|) < C\epsilon + O(t).$$

Therefore, $\angle_{h(p)}((h \circ \alpha_\epsilon)^+(0), (h \circ \beta_\epsilon)^+(0)) \leq C\epsilon$. This implies that the map $h'_p : \Sigma_p E \to \Sigma_{h(p)} F$ is well-defined.

Let us give another representation of the map h'_p as follows. Since $h : (E, |\cdot,\cdot|_E) \to (F, |\cdot,\cdot|_F)$ is the isometric embedding, by Theorem 2.18, the limit map $\hat{h} : (T_p E, |\cdot,\cdot|_{T_p E}) \to (T_{h(p)} F, |\cdot,\cdot|_{T_{h(p)} F})$ can be defined, which is an isometric embedding. From the above argument,

the map $\hat{h}$ is deteremined independently on the choice of sequences in the approximation $(E, r|\cdot,\cdot|, p) \to (T_pE, |\cdot,\cdot|_{T_pE})$ as $r \to \infty$. By the definition, the map h'_p is nothing but the map $\hat{h}$ restricted to Σ_pE. This completes the proof. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us first give a proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let M_1, M_2, E_1, E_2 and f be as in Corollary 1.3. Then, the disjoint union $E := E_1 \sqcup E_2$ is κ-extremal in the Alexandrov space $M := M_1 \sqcup M_2$. The map $f : E_1 \to E_2$ implies an isometric involution $\bar{f} : E \to E$ with respect to the length metric. Since $M_1 \cup_f M_2$ is nothing but $M_{\bar{f}}$, by Theorem 1.2, we obtain the conclusion. □

Let us prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let M be an n-dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$ and E its κ-extremal subset of dimension $n-1$. Let $f : E \to E$ be a non-trivial isometric involution with respect to the length metric. By Remark 2.15, we may assume that $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.1, any point in $M_f \setminus E_f$ has a neighborhood of curvature $\geq \kappa$. Therefore, due to the globalization theorem ([2]), it suffices to prove that any point in E_f has a neighborhood of curvature $\geq \kappa$.

Let us take $x \in E$. By Lemma 2.22, we obtain an isometry

$$f'_x : \Sigma_x E \to \Sigma_{f(x)} E \tag{3.1}$$

with respect to the length metric. Further, if x is a fixed point of f, then the map f'_x is an involution.

Since $\Sigma_x E$ and $\Sigma_{f(x)}E$ are 1-extremal in $\Sigma_x M$ and $\Sigma_{f(x)}M$ of codimension one, the inductive hypothesis implies that the metric space

$$\Sigma_x^\sharp := \begin{cases} (\Sigma_x)_{f'_x} & \text{if } x \text{ is a fixed point of } f, \\ \Sigma_x \cup_{f'_x} \Sigma_{f(x)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an Alexandrov space of curvature ≥ 1. Let $\Sigma_x E_f \subset \Sigma_x^\sharp$ be the subset corresponding to $\Sigma_x E \subset \Sigma_x$ and $\Sigma_{f(x)}E \subset \Sigma_{f(x)}$.

The κ-cone extension Df_x of f'_x gives an isometry

$$Df_x : T_x^\kappa E \to T_{f(x)}^\kappa E$$

between the κ-cones. Note that the κ-cone over $\Sigma_x^\sharp$ is isometric to the glued space

$$T_x^\sharp := \begin{cases} (T_x^\kappa M)_{Df_x} & \text{if } x = f(x), \\ T_x^\kappa M \cup_{Df_x} T_{f(x)}^\kappa M & \text{if } x \neq f(x). \end{cases}$$

Hence, $T_x^\sharp$ is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$.

Lemma 3.1 ([7, Lemma 2.5])**.** *For any sequence $r_i \to \infty$, there is a subsequence r_{i_j} of it and a pointed proper geodesic space (K, o) such that $(r_{i_j}M_f, x)$ converges to (K, o) as $j \to \infty$, in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.*

Further, for any such a limit K, there is a distance non-contracting map $\ell : K \to T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp$ such that $|\ell(v)| = |ov|$ for any $v \in K$, where $T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp$ is the tangent cone of the cone $T_x^\sharp$ at the origin o_x.

Proof. Recall that the κ-gradient-exponential maps

$$\mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa : T_x^\kappa M \to M \text{ and } \mathrm{gexp}_{f(x)}^\kappa : T_{f(x)}^\kappa M \to M$$

are defined, respectively. Further, for any $v \in T_x^\kappa E$, we have

$$f(\mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa(v)) = \mathrm{gexp}_{f(x)}^\kappa(Df_x(v)).$$

Therefore, the map $\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp : T_x^\sharp \to M_f$ can be defined as

$$\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp(v) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{gexp}_x^\kappa(v) & \text{if } v \in T_x^\kappa M \\ \mathrm{gexp}_{f(x)}^\kappa(v) & \text{if } v \in T_{f(x)}^\kappa M. \end{cases}$$

Since gexp_x^κ and $\mathrm{gexp}_{f(x)}^\kappa$ are 1-Lipschitz, so is $\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp$ due to Lemma 2.2. From the construction, we have

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0+} |\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp(tv)x| = |v|. \tag{3.2}$$

For a rigorous proof of (3.2), we refer the proof of [7, Lemma 2.5]. Further, the image of $\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp$ contains $B(x, D_\kappa/2)$ which is a subset of M_f. Therefore, the first statement holds. Let us consider any limit K of scaling spaces $(r_iT_x^\sharp, o_x)$ and (r_iM_f, x) as $r_i \to \infty$, and the limit $D(\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp)$ of $\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp$ under this convergence. Since $T_x^\sharp$ is an Alexandrov space, its scaling limit is no other than the unique tangent cone $T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp$. So, we have a 1-Lipschitz surjective map

$$D(\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp) : T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp \to K.$$

Here, K denotes the limit of (r_iM_f, x). By (3.2), it satisfies

$$|D(\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp)(v)| = |v|$$

for all $v \in T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp$. Since $D(\mathrm{gexp}^\sharp)$ is surjective, its left-inverse

$$\ell : K \to T_{o_x}T_x^\sharp$$

gives the desired map. This completes the proof. □

Let us take $p, q \in M_f$ with $|pq| < \infty$ and $x_0x_1 \dots x_kx_{k+1}$ an m-shortest path between $x_0 = p$ and $x_{k+1} = q$, where $k \le m$. Let us fix $x = x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset E_f$ an inner vertex of the m-shortest path.

Lemma 3.2 ([7, Lemma 2.6])**.** *The directions $\xi := \uparrow_{x_i}^{x_{i-1}}$ and $\eta := \uparrow_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}$ are antipodal in $\Sigma_x^\sharp$. Namely, we have*

$$|\xi\zeta| + |\eta\zeta| = \pi$$

for all $\zeta \in \Sigma_x^\sharp$. Here, $|\cdot,\cdot|$ is the canonical length metric on the glued space $\Sigma_x^\sharp$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \Sigma_x M$ and $\eta \in \Sigma_{f(x)} M$. Let us first prove that

$$|\xi\nu|_0 + |\eta\nu|_0 = \pi \tag{3.3}$$

for all $\nu \in \Sigma_x E_f$. Let us set $g(\nu) := |\xi\nu|_0 + |\eta\nu|_0$. Since $x_{i-1}xx_{i+1}$ is a 1-shortest path, applying the usual first variation formula, we obtain

$$-\cos|\xi\nu|_0 - \cos|\eta\nu|_0 \geq 0$$

for all $\nu \in \Sigma_x E_f$. Hence, we have

$$g(\nu) = |\xi\nu|_0 + |\eta\nu|_0 \geq \pi.$$

Let us take $\bar{\nu} \in \Sigma_x E_f$ a minimizer of the function g. Then, $\xi\bar{\nu}\eta$ is a 1-shortest path in $\Sigma_x^\sharp = \Sigma_x M \cup_{f'_x} \Sigma_{f(x)} M$. Let us take a minimal geodesic c in $\Sigma_x E_f$ with respect to the length metric starting from $c(0) = \bar{\nu}$ in the direction $c^+(0) \in \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}} \Sigma_x E_f$. By the inductive hypothesis about the property (3.3), we have

$$|\uparrow_{\bar{\nu}}^{\xi} c^+(0)|_0 + |\uparrow_{\bar{\nu}}^{\eta} c^+(0)|_0 = \pi.$$

By Theorem 2.17, the curve c is a 1-quasigeodesic both in $\Sigma_x M$ and $\Sigma_{f(x)} M$. If $g(\bar{\nu}) > \pi$, then by the hinge comparison (Proposition 2.10(2)) for the hinges $(c, \bar{\nu}\xi)$ and $(c, \bar{\nu}\eta)$, we have

$$g(c(t)) < g(c(0)) = g(\bar{\nu})$$

for small $t > 0$. This is contradict to the choice of $\bar{\nu}$. Hence, we obtain $g(\bar{\nu}) = \pi$.

Let us define a function $h : \Sigma_x E_f \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(\nu) := -\cos|\xi\nu|_0 - \cos|\eta\nu|_0.$$

Let c denote a minimal geodesic in $\Sigma_x E_f$ with respect to the length metric starting at $c(0) = \bar{\nu}$. Since $\bar{\nu}$ minimize h, we have $h \circ c \geq h(\bar{\nu}) = 0$. By Theorem 2.17, $h \circ c$ is spherically concave. Further, we have

$$(h \circ c)'(0) = \cos|\xi\bar{\nu}|_0 \cos|\uparrow_{\bar{\nu}}^{\xi} c^+(0)|_0 + \cos|\eta\bar{\nu}|_0 \cos|\uparrow_{\bar{\nu}}^{\eta} c^+(0)|_0 = 0.$$

By the spherically concavity of $h \circ c$, we obtain

$$h \circ c(t) \leq h(\bar{\nu}) \cos t + (h \circ c)'(0) \sin t = 0.$$

Therefore, $h = 0$ on $\Sigma_x E_f$. Namely, (3.3) is proved.

We prove $|\xi\eta| = \pi$ in $\Sigma_x^\sharp$. Suppose $|\xi\eta| < \pi$. Then, there is an m such that $|\xi\eta|_m < \pi$. Let θ be the closest vertex to ξ of an m-shortest path between ξ and η. Since $\theta \in \Sigma_x E_f$, $\xi\theta\eta$ is a 1-shortest path of length π in $\Sigma_x^\sharp$ by (3.3). Hence, there are two distinct directions at θ which are opposite to $\uparrow_\theta^\xi$ in $\Sigma_\theta \Sigma_x^\sharp$. It is contradict to that $\Sigma_x^\sharp$ is an Alexandrov space. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Corollary 3.3 ([7, Corollary 2.7])**.** *Let ξ and η be an in Lemma 3.2. For $\zeta \in \Sigma_x^\sharp$, there is a unique $\zeta^* \in \Sigma_x E_f$ such that*

$$|\xi\zeta|_0 + |\zeta\zeta^*|_0 + |\zeta^*\eta|_0 = \pi$$

or

$$|\eta\zeta|_0 + |\zeta\zeta^*|_0 + |\zeta^*\xi|_0 = \pi.$$

Proof. We may assume $\xi \in \Sigma_x M$, $\eta \in \Sigma_{f(x)} M$ and $\zeta \in \Sigma_x M$. Let us consider a 1-shortest path $\zeta\zeta^*\eta$ between ζ and η in $\Sigma_x^\sharp$ through some $\zeta^* \in \Sigma_x E_f$. Then, such a ζ^* is the desired direction. □

Lemma 3.4 ([7, Lemma 2.8])**.** *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \to M_f$ be a minimal geodesic in E_f with respect to the length metric. Then*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\rho_\kappa(|p\gamma(t)|_m) + \kappa\rho_\kappa(|p\gamma(t)|_m) \leq 1$$

on a neighborhood of each t_0, for any $p \in M_f \setminus \{\gamma(t_0)\}$ with $|p\gamma(t_0)|_m < D_\kappa/4$ and $m \geq 0$.

Petrunin proved the corresponding lemma for the case $\kappa = 0$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In this paper, we prove the lemma when $\kappa \neq 0$. The statement of the case $\kappa = 0$ also follows from the statements of the case $\kappa < 0$ and a limiting procedure.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let us assume that $\kappa \neq 0$. Let us set $h(u) := h_\kappa(u) := -\kappa^{-1}\mathrm{cs}_\kappa u$. To prove Lemma 3.4, it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}h(|p\gamma(t)|_m) + \kappa h(|p\gamma(t)|_m) \leq 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for $m \geq 0$, γ as in the assumption and t with $|p\gamma(t)|_m < D_\kappa/4$.

Since the 0-predistance $|p\gamma(t)|_0$ is no other than the original distance from the set $p \subset M$ to the curve γ, by Theorem 2.17, the lemma is true for $m = 0$.

We suppose that the lemma is true for all $\ell < m$ and false for m. Namely, there exist a curve γ as in the assumption in Lemma 3.4 and a point p, the function $h(|p\gamma(t)|_m)$ does not satisfy (3.4). From the inductive hypothesis, the function $h(|p\gamma(t)|_m)$ satisfies the assumption (2.5) in Lemma 2.6. Indeed, this is valid by a later equation (3.6). Hence, there exist a parameter t_0 at an interior point of γ, $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any t with $|t - t_0| < \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(|p\gamma(t)|_m) \geq h(|p\gamma(t_0)|_m) - \kappa h(|p\gamma(t_0)|_m)(t - t_0)^2/2 \\ + \epsilon(t - t_0)^2 + A(t - t_0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We may assume $t_0 = 0$ by translating the parameter of γ.

Let $q := \gamma(0)$ and let us fix an m-shortest path $p_0p_1 \dots p_kp_{k+1}$ between $p = p_0$ and $q = p_{k+1}$, where $k \leq m$. We may assume $k \geq 1$. Let us take a sequence t_j with $\lim_{j\to\infty} t_j = 0$. Let $(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)})^* \in \Sigma_{p_k} E_f \subset \Sigma_{p_k}^\sharp$ be a direction taken by Corollary 3.3. Taking a subsequence of $\{t_j\}$,

we may assume that $\{(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)})^*\}$ converges to some direction $\nu \in \Sigma_{p_k}E_f$. For any $\delta > 0$, by Lemma 2.21, there is a minimal geodesic σ in N from p_k such that

$$\angle(\sigma^+(0), \nu) < \delta.$$

Here, $\angle$ is the distance function on $\Sigma_{p_k}^\sharp$. We set

$$\alpha = \angle(\uparrow_q^{p_k}, \gamma^+(0)), \qquad \beta = \angle(\uparrow_{p_k}^q, \sigma^+(0)),$$
$$\beta_j = \angle(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)}, \sigma^+(0)), \qquad \theta_j = \angle(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)}, \uparrow_{p_k}^q).$$

Here, we may assume that $\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)} \to \uparrow_{p_k}^q$ as $j \to \infty$. Hence, $\theta_j \to 0$ and $\beta_j \to \beta$ as $j \to \infty$. Note that by Corollary 3.3, $\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)}$ is contained in a geodesic between $\uparrow_{p_k}^q$ and $(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)})^*$ in $\Sigma_{p_k}^\sharp$.

From the monotonicity of the comparison angle (Proposition 2.10(3)) and the law of sine in the κ-plane, we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa \theta_j}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |t_j|} \geq \frac{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa \tilde{\angle} q p_k \gamma(t_j)}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |t_j|} = \frac{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa \tilde{\angle} p_k q \gamma(t_j)}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_k\gamma(t_j)|_0} = \frac{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa \alpha}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_k q|_0} + O(t_j).$$

We can assume that $\uparrow_{p_k}^q \notin \Sigma_{p_k}E_f$. By taking into account the triangle $\triangle \uparrow_{p_k}^q \uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)} \sigma^+(0)$ in $\Sigma_{p_k}^\sharp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\cos\beta\cos\theta_j - \cos\beta_j}{\sin\beta\sin\theta_j} &\leq -\cos\angle\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)}\uparrow_{p_k}^q \sigma^+(0)\\ &= -\cos\angle\left(\uparrow_{p_k}^{\gamma(t_j)}\right)^* \uparrow_{p_k}^q \sigma^+(0)\\ &\leq -\cos\left(O(\delta) + O(t_j)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\cos(\beta - \beta_j) \leq 1 - \frac{t_j^2 \sin^2\alpha}{2\operatorname{sn}_\kappa^2 |p_k q|_0} + O(\delta)t_j^2 + o(t_j^2).$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$(h(|p\sigma(\tau)|_{m-1}))'' + \kappa h(|p\sigma(\tau)|_{m-1}) \leq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$h(|p\sigma(\tau)|_{m-1}) \leq h(|pp_k|_{m-1})\operatorname{cs}_\kappa \tau + \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |pp_k|_{m-1} \operatorname{sn}_\kappa \tau \cos\beta.$$

Since σ is a quasigeodesic in M, we obtain

$$h(|\gamma(t_j)\sigma(\tau)|_0) \leq h(|\gamma(t_j)p_k|_0)\operatorname{cs}_\kappa \tau - \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |\gamma(t_j)p_k|_0 \operatorname{sn}_\kappa \tau \cos\beta_j.$$

Let us see the figure in [7, p.217] and regard it as a figure in the κ-plane. Note that when j is large, the length of σ is sufficiently greater

than $|\gamma(t_j)p_k|_0$. Therefore, we obtain the second inequality in the following. The first inequality comes from the monotonicity of h.

$$\begin{aligned} h(|p\gamma(t_j)|_m) &\le h\left(\min_\tau \left(|p\sigma(\tau)|_{m-1} + |\sigma(\tau)\gamma(t_j)|_0\right)\right) \\ &\le \operatorname{cs}_\kappa |pp_k|_{m-1}\, h(|p_k\gamma(t_j)|_0) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |pp_k|_{m-1} \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_k\gamma(t_j)|_0 \cos(\beta - \beta_j). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that, as mentioned above, this implies that the function $h(|p\gamma(t)|_m)$ satisfies (2.5) in Lemma 2.6.

By Theorem 2.17, γ is a quasigeodesic in M. Hence, we have

$$h(|p_k\gamma(t_j)|_0) \le h(|p_kq|_0) \operatorname{cs}_\kappa t_j + \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_kq|_0 \operatorname{sn}_\kappa t_j \cos\alpha.$$

By the first variation formula, we obtain

$$\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_k\gamma(t_j)|_0 \le \operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_kq|_0 - t_j \operatorname{cs}_\kappa |p_kq|_0 \cos\alpha + \frac{\lambda}{2}t_j^2 + o(t_j^2)$$

where λ is given as

$$\lambda = \frac{\operatorname{cs}_\kappa^2 |p_kq|_0 - \cos^2\alpha}{\operatorname{sn}_\kappa |p_kq|_0}$$

due to Lemma 2.5.

By summarizing the above inequalities and using the expansions $\operatorname{cs}_\kappa T = 1 - (\kappa/2)T^2 + o(T^2)$ and $\operatorname{sn}_\kappa T = T + o(T^2)$, we obtain

$$\epsilon t_j^2 + A' t_j \le O(\delta) t_j^2 + o(t_j^2)$$

for some constant $A' \in \mathbb{R}$. Tending $t_j \to \pm 0$, we have $A' = 0$ and $\epsilon \le |O(\delta)|$. The last inequality fails when δ is small. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\gamma = p_0p_1 \dots p_{k+1}$ be an m-shortest path in M_f. From Lemma 3.4, we know that γ is κ-convex with respect to the ℓ-predistance at any point except interior points $p_i = \gamma(t_i)$, $1 \le i \le k$. Passing $\ell \to \infty$, we have that γ is κ-convex with respect to the length metric $|\cdot,\cdot|$ on M_f at any t except t_i. Let us fix i and consider $p_i = \gamma(t_i)$ an interior point. Let us take $x \ne p_i$ and a geodesic σ starting at p_i ending at x. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$|\sigma(T)\gamma(t_i + T\epsilon)| + |\sigma(T)\gamma(t_i - T\epsilon)| \le 2T + 10T\epsilon^2 + o(T).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x\gamma(t_i + T\epsilon)| + |x\gamma(t_i - T\epsilon)| &\le 2|x\sigma(T)| + 2T + 10T\epsilon^2 + o(T) \\ &= 2|xp_i| + 10T\epsilon^2 + o(T). \end{aligned}$$

Tending $T \to 0$ and $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$|x\gamma(t)|^+(t_i) - |x\gamma(t)|^-(t_i) \le 0.$$

Therefore, from [5, 1.3 (2)], γ is known to be κ-convex at any time.

Let γ_m be an m-shortest path between x and y in X. Then, the limit $\gamma = \lim_{m\to\infty} \gamma_m$ is a minimal geodesic between x and y. Then, γ is κ-convex and parametrized by arclength, because so is each γ_m and $L(\gamma_m) \to L(\gamma)$. Hence, γ is a κ-quasigeodesic. By Theorem 2.12, we know that X is an Alexandrov space of curvature $\geq \kappa$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Example 3.5. We see that the gluing construction as in Corollary 1.3 naturally appear when considering collapsing Alexandrov spaces with boundaries. Let us fix an interval $I = [0, 1]$. Then, an Alexandrov surface M which is Gromov-Hausdroff close to I is topologically classified. When M has non-empty boundary, M is homeomorphic to a disk, an annulus or a Möbius band. One can give several families of nonnegatively curved metrics on such an M converging to I as follows. We consider the case M is a disk. Let S^1_ϵ a circle of length ϵ and let $M_\epsilon = K_1(S^1_\epsilon)$ which is the closed ball in the Euclidean cone over S^1_ϵ centered at the origin and of radius 1. Then, M_ϵ collapses to I as $\epsilon \to 0$. Further, its double $D(M_\epsilon)$ collapses to the partial double $I \cup_f I$ of I, where f is the identity on $\{1\} \subset \partial I$.

In [3], collapsing three-dimensional Alexandrov spaces with boundaries were classified. To state results in [3], we needed the terminology of partial-double, that is, gluing of two same Alexandrov spaces along the same extremal subsets of codimension one via the identity. For instance, let $N_\epsilon = K(S^1_\epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the product of the cone over a circle of length ϵ and the half-line. It converges to $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Then, the double $D(N_\epsilon)$ converges to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which is the glued space of two $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$'s along an extremal subset $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$ via the identity, that is, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is obtained as $\{(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2 \sqcup (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 2})^2\}_{\mathrm{id}:\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}\to\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}}$ in our terminology.

Extracting sufficient conditions for proving Theorem 1.2, the statements of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 are generalized to the following form. (However, it is hard to check the assumption of Theorem 3.6, for general Alexandrov spaces).

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be an Alexandrov space and E its codimension one extremal subset. Let $f : E \to \partial M$ be an isometric embedding with respect to the length metric. For $x \in E$, let $f'_x : \Sigma_x E \to \Sigma_{f(x)} E$ denote an isometric embedding with respect to the length metric obtained by Lemma 2.22. Then, we have*

1. *If the metric space $(\Sigma_x)_{f'_x} = \Sigma_x/\sim$ obtained by gluing $\Sigma_x E$ and its image $f'_x(\Sigma_x E)$ along f'_x in Σ_x has curvature ≥ 1 for every $x \in E$, then the metric space $M_f = M/\sim$ obtained by gluing E and $f(E)$ along f in M is an Alexandrov space.*
2. *Further, under the assumption of (1), if M has curvature $\geq \kappa$, E is connected and is κ-extremal in M, then M_f has curvature $\geq \kappa$.*

To obtain an Alexandrov space by gluing, the assumption of (1) is needed. Actually, by gluing two rectangles of different sizes, we obtain a metric space admitting branching geodesics.

Remark 3.7. We can not replace an isometric involution in the statement of Theorem 1.2 with an isometric group action. Indeed, let us consider a disk with flat metric and an effective isometric action of the cyclic group of order three on the boundary in the induced length metric. Then, the quotient space of the disk obtained by gluing the boundary along the isometries is not an Alexandrov space. Indeed, at a former boundary point, the space of directions is not an Alexandrov space, because it is a graph of degree three consisting of two nodes and three edges.

References

[1] D. Burago, Yu. Burago, and S. Ivanov. A course in metric geometry. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 33. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xiv+415 pp.

[2] Yu. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perel'man. A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 47 (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222, translation in Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 2, 1–58.

[3] A. Mitsuishi and T. Yamaguchi. Collapsing three-dimensional Alexandrov spaces with boundary, Preprint.

[4] G. Perelman. A. D. Alexandrov's spaces with curvatures bounded from below. II, Preprint.

[5] G. Perelman and A. Petrunin. Quasigeodesics and gradient curves in Alexandrov spaces, preprint.

[6] G. Perel'man and A. Petrunin. Extremal subsets in Aleksandrov spaces and the generalized Liberman theorem. (Russian. Russian summary) Algebra i Analiz 5 (1993), no. 1, 242–256; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 (1994), no. 1, 215–227.

[7] A. Petrunin. Applications of quasigeodesics and gradient curves. (English summary) Comparison geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1993–94), 203–219, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[8] A. Petrunin. Semiconcave functions in Alexandrov's geometry. Surv. Differ. Geom., Vol. XI, 137–201, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.

[9] A. Petrunin. Quasigeodesics in multidimensional Alexandrov spaces. Thesis (Ph.D.)-University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. 1995. 92 pp.

[10] Yu. G. Reshetnyak, On the theory of spaces of curvature not greater than K, Mat. Sb. 52 (1960), 789–798.

E-mail address, A. Mitsuishi: `mitsuishi@math.gakushuin.ac.jp`